LIST OF FIGURES

Vertical Aerial Photography

Figure 1. Higginsville regolith base map (Lintern, et al., 1996), compiled by Mike Craig on RC9 aerial photographs.

Landsat Thematic Mapper

Figure 1. Spectra of selected surface materials and wavelength positions of LANDSAT TM band passes.

Figure 2. Three-band Landsat TM image of second principal component of ratios 4/3 and 5/7 in red, ratio 5/4 in green and the addition of bands 7 + 1 in blue, overlain with regolith and landform vectors.

Figure 3. Regolith and landforms from part of the Jumbuck map, Half Moon Lake region, Gawler Craton, South Australia (Wilford, et al., 1998).

Hyperspectral Remote Sensing

Figure 1. Atmospheric transmission spectrum (after Drury, 1987).

Figure 2. An example for mineralogical mapping: a) RGB image derived from HyMap, overlain by mapped amphibolite units from the published 1:25,000 geological map. b) the imaged amphibole endmember, c) the imaged goethite-illite mixture endmember.

Figure 3. An example for regolith-landform mapping.

Figure 4. An example for environmental applications.

Radar Imaging

Figure 1. Images of Landsat TM and AIRSAR polarimetric data compare surface and sub-surface textural information from radar with surface mineralogy and vegetation from TM.

Figure 2. Variation in vertically polarized radar backscatter with changing surface roughness according to AIRSAR C, L and P band wavelengths, and a composite image of the three wavelengths.

Figure 3. Landforms in vicinity of Ophthalmia Range, Hamersley Basin – a composite image of AIRSAR bands Cvv/Lvv/Pvv as RGB. Site dimensions - 16x8 km.

Figure 4. AIRSAR C-, L- and P-band multi-polarization composite image of stratigraphic sequence comprising Arkaroola syncline, Flinders ranges, South Australia, shows a strong relationship with the mapped geology.

Figure 5. An interpretation of prominent linear structures from an enhancement of AIRSAR data of the Ophthalmia Range region. Site dimensions are 16x8 km, north direction to top of image.

Figure 6. DEMs generated from TOPSAR radar interferometry of two contrasting terrains – semi-arid central Australia (a) and humid-tropical Papua New Guinea (b).

Airborne and Ground Magnetics

Figure 1. Colour image of magnetic data reduced to the pole with artificial illumination from the northeast.

Figure 2. Greyscale image of the first vertical derivative of magnetic data reduced to the pole.

Figure 3. Forward modelling from Mackey, et al. (2000) in which a near surface palaeochannel deposit and deeper volcanic units are modelled.

Airborne Gamma-ray Spectrometry

Figure 1. Gamma-ray spectrum showing the position of the K, Th, U and total count windows.

Figure 2. Element weathering and gamma-ray response (Wilford, et al., 1997).

Figure 3. Relationship between gamma-ray response and denudation balance in landscapes.

Figure 4. 3D landscape perspective and gamma-ray image over part of the Cootamundra 1:250 000 map sheet.

Ground and Airborne Electromagnetic Methods

Figure 1. The range of conductivity values for various rocks and minerals.

Figure 2. Interactions for an EM system.

Figure 3. Skin depth and diffusion depth charts.

Figure 4. a) Transmitter loop and receiver coil configuration for the TEMPEST AEM system. b) TEMPEST AEM system on a Trislander aircraft in 1999.

Figure 5. a) Arrangement of the 5 separate transmitter and receiver coil pairs for one of several possible configurations of the DIGHEM system. b) DIGHEM system preparing for operations.

Figure 6. Method for deriving elevation (ie. the height above sea level) from airborne measurements.

Figure 7. a) Transmitter loop and receiver coil configuration for the EM31 frequency domain ground EM instrument showing both vertical and horizontal dipole configurations. b) EM31 instrument in operation.

Figure 8. a). Transmitter loop and receiver coil configuration for typical in-loop time domain ground EM. b). Time-domain ground EM instruments (NanoTEM transmitter and GDP data recorder).

Figure 9. a). TEMPEST AEM Z-component square-wave B-field response profiles. b). conductivity section; and, c). total magnetic intensity profile for line 10281 (211600 mE) over the Walford East base metal prospect, Queensland (Lane, et al., 2000).

Figure 10. Conductivity sections for a) PROTEM ground EM and b) TEMPEST airborne EM data along line 6626720 mN at Grant's Patch, Western Australia. The regolith profile derived from drilling c) is also shown (Worrall, et al., 2001).

Figure 11. Image of conductance of the conductive unit defined by a variable conductivity with a minimum threshold of 30 mS/m, calculated from TEMPEST AEM data for the Challenger area, South Australia.

Figure 12. A conductivity isosurface from the Challenger area, South Australia, derived from TEMPEST AEM data.

Figure 13. a) Depth to base of conductive regolith, based on manual interpretation of conductivity sections derived from TEMPEST AEM data. b) Residual Bouguer gravity after removing a regional trend from the data. c) Residual Bouguer gravity adjusted for response of
the regolith layer using a density contrast of –0.6 g/cm^3.

Figure 14. CDI conductivity section and TEMPEST Z component window response amplitudes for line 10390, Lake Harris, South Australia.

Figure 15. CDI conductivity section and TEMPEST X component window response amplitudes for line 20510, Lake Harris, South Australia.

Figure 16. Example of 3 layer conductivity model (black) and EMFlow CDI conductivity soundings obtained after converting the forward model response of the 3 layer model to conductivity.

Ground Penetrating Radar

Figure 1. a) and b) An application of using the GPR technique to map the groundwater surface and the sand / gravel-bedrock interface (Scaife and Annan, 1991).

Figure 2. This section illustrates the use of GPR for the location of underground services and in this case a pipe buried at approximately 2 metres (Courtesy of Mala Geoscience).

Figure 3. Radar section across an area that has two plumes of contaminated groundwater present. Note the lack of GPR signal penetration due to the contamination having a much higher conductivity than the surrounding ground (Davis and Annan, 1989).

Figure 4. This illustrates the versatility of the GPR system to locate various different items made of different material at various depths (Courtesy of Mala Geoscience).

Figure 5. An example of a borehole radar section obtained with a Mala Geoscience borehole probe.

Ground Acoustic Penetration

Figure 1. Regolith thickness and bedrock relief: geotechnical regolith study along the Moscow - St. Petersburg Highway 1997.

Figure 2. Regolith structure. Geotechnical regolith study at a construction site, St. Petersburg 1999.

Figure 3. Example of GAP laterite geotechnical studies.

Seismic surveys for imaging the regolith

Figure 1. The seismic energy travels along several paths at and below the surface.

Figure 2. A seismogram showing both reflected and refracted energy.

Figure 3. Vibrator trucks, which vibrate a heavy mass on the surface of the ground.

Figure 4. An example of continuous reflection-time cross section, picturing geological structure at depth.

Figure 5. Results of a seismic inversion (Courtesy of GA).

Gravity

Figure 1. a) Bulk density ranges for some common Australian regolith materials. b) Average bulk density ranges for some common Australian regolith materials.

Figure 2. Gravity profile and 2D model of a palaeochannel filled with alluvium of density 2.03 t/m^3 showing the depth to fresh bedrock of density 2.67 t/m^3.

Geophysical well logging

Figure 1. The original geophysical logging equipment used by the Schlumberger brothers in the late 1920's.

Figure 2. Part of the first geophysical log obtained by the Schlumberger brothers in 1927.

Figure 3. Regolith logging with a small, easy-to-use geophysical logging system, mounted in a 4WD vehicle.

Figure 4. Schematic diagram of a sonic tool with the transmitter and two transceivers.

Figure 5. Schematic diagram of logging setup for resistivity and SP logging.

Figure 6. An SP log through interbedded sandstones and shales (modified after Sheriff 1991).

Figure 7. IP logging can be used to detect disseminated conductive grains (modified after Hallenburg, 1984).

Figure 8. The density distribution of thermalised neutrons around a neutron tool.

Figure 9. An example of wireline logs of a drillhole through regolith.